

Exact supersymmetry on the lattice: the Wess-Zumino model

Alessandra Feo

work in coll. with Marisa Bonini, hep-lat/0402034

Università di Parma and INFN gruppo coll. di Parma

Lattice 2004

Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, USA

June 21-26, 2004

Outline

- Exact supersymmetry on the lattice?
 - Introduction
 - Four dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 1$ Wess-Zumino model and the Ginsparg-Wilson operator
 - The supersymmetric transformation
 - The algebra
- Conclusions and Outlook

Exact supersymmetry on the lattice

The lattice action is not unique. Improve the action in order to approach the continuum limit faster and/or have less symmetry breaking.

Improving lattice supersymmetry seems to be a difficult task for gauge theories because on the lattice the gauge field and the fermions are treated in a very different way.

It is possible to obtain perfect supersymmetry respect to the supersymmetric transformations. Some examples:

Golterman & Petcher, Nucl.Phys.B319:307-341,1989

Bietenholz, Mod.Phys.Lett.A14:51-62,1999

Catterall & Karamov, Phys.Rev.D65:094501,2002,
Phys.Rev.D68:014503,2003

Fujikawa & Ishibashi, Phys.Lett.B528:295-300,2002

Fujikawa, Nucl.Phys.B636:80-98,2002

Beccaria, Campostrini & A. F., Phys.Rev.D69:095010,2004 and
hep-lat/0405016 (Phys.Rev.D. to appear)

Bonini & A. F., hep-lat/0402034, D'adda et al., hep-lat/0406029

Four dimensional lattice Wess-Zumino model with GW fermions

Our starting point is the paper by Fujikawa (2002)

We show that it is actually possible to formulate the theory in such a way that the full action is invariant under a lattice supersymmetry transformation at fixed lattice spacing.

The action and the transformation are written in terms of the Ginsparg-Wilson operator and reduce to their continuum expression in the limit $a \rightarrow 0$.

The lattice supersymmetry transformation is non-linear in the scalar fields and depends on the parameters m and g entering in the superpotential.

We also show that the lattice supersymmetry transformation close the algebra, a necessary ingredient to guarantee the request of supersymmetry.

The Ginsparg-Wilson relation

$$\gamma_5 D + D \gamma_5 = a D \gamma_5 D$$

implies a continuum symmetry of the fermion action which may be regarded as a lattice form of the chiral symmetry (Lüscher 1998).

The fermion lagrangian with a Yukawa interaction

$$\mathcal{L} = \bar{\psi} D \psi + g \bar{\psi} (P_+ \phi \hat{P}_+ + P_- \phi^\dagger \hat{P}_-) \psi,$$

where

$$P_\pm = \frac{1}{2}(1 \pm \gamma_5), \quad \hat{P}_\pm = \frac{1}{2}(1 \pm \hat{\gamma}_5)$$

are the lattice chiral projection operators and $\hat{\gamma}_5 = \gamma_5(1 - aD)$, is invariant under the lattice chiral transformation

$$\delta\psi = i\varepsilon \hat{\gamma}_5 \psi, \quad \delta\bar{\psi} = i\bar{\psi} \gamma_5 \varepsilon, \quad \delta\phi = -2i\varepsilon\phi.$$

By writing ψ in terms of two Majorana fermions

$$\psi = \chi + i\eta,$$

it can be seen that the interaction term couples the two Majorana fermions and therefore there is a conflict between lattice chiral symmetry and the Majorana condition (Fujikawa 2002).

This is due to the fact that the projection operators \hat{P}_{\pm} depend on D . By making the following field redefinition

$$\psi' = \left(1 - \frac{a}{2}D\right)\psi, \quad \bar{\psi}' = \bar{\psi},$$

the Yukawa interaction becomes

$$g\bar{\psi}'(P_+\phi P_+ + P_-\phi^\dagger P_-)\psi'$$

and the two Majorana components of ψ' decouple.

Taking advantage of this property, one can define the four dimensional Wess-Zumino on the lattice with Majorana fermions.

We start with a lagrangian defined in terms of the Ginsparg-Wilson fermions on the $d = 4$ euclidean lattice. A simple solution was given by Neuberger (1998)

$$D = \frac{1}{a} \left(1 - \frac{X}{\sqrt{X^\dagger X}} \right), \quad X = 1 - aD_w,$$

where

$$D_w = \frac{1}{2} \gamma_\mu (\nabla_\mu^* + \nabla_\mu) - \frac{a}{2} \nabla_\mu^* \nabla_\mu$$

and

$$\nabla_\mu \phi(x) = \frac{1}{a} (\phi(x + a\hat{\mu}) - \phi(x)), \quad \nabla_\mu^* \phi(x) = \frac{1}{a} (\phi(x) - \phi(x - a\hat{\mu}))$$

It is convenient to write

$$D = D_1 + D_2$$

where

$$D_1 = \frac{1}{a} \left(1 - \frac{1 + \frac{a^2}{2} \nabla_\mu^* \nabla_\mu}{\sqrt{X^\dagger X}} \right), \quad D_2 = \frac{1}{2} \gamma_\mu \frac{\nabla_\mu^* + \nabla_\mu}{\sqrt{X^\dagger X}} \equiv \gamma_\mu D_{2\mu}.$$

In terms of D_1 and D_2 the Ginsparg-Wilson relation becomes

$$D_1^2 - D_2^2 = \frac{2}{a} D_1.$$

The action of the 4-dimensional Wess-Zumino model on the lattice

$$S_{WZ} = \sum_x \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \bar{\chi} (1 - \frac{a}{2} D_1)^{-1} D_2 \chi - \frac{2}{a} \phi^\dagger D_1 \phi + F^\dagger (1 - \frac{a}{2} D_1)^{-1} F + \frac{1}{2} m \bar{\chi} \chi \right. \\ \left. + m (F \phi + (F \phi)^\dagger) + g \bar{\chi} (P_+ \phi P_+ + P_- \phi^\dagger P_-) \chi + g (F \phi^2 + (F \phi^2)^\dagger) \right\},$$

where ϕ and F are scalar fields and χ is a Majorana fermion which satisfies the Majorana condition

$$\bar{\chi} = \chi^T C$$

and C is the charge conjugation matrix which satisfies

$$C^T = -C, \quad C C^\dagger = 1.$$

Moreover, our conventions are

$$C \gamma_\mu C^{-1} = -(\gamma_\mu)^T \\ C \gamma_5 C^{-1} = (\gamma_5)^T.$$

In the continuum limit reduces to the continuum Wess-Zumino action

$$S = \int \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \bar{\chi} (\not{\partial} + m) \chi + \phi^\dagger \partial^2 \phi + F^\dagger F + m(F\phi + (F\phi)^\dagger) \right. \\ \left. + g \bar{\chi} (P_+ \phi P_+ + P_- \phi^\dagger P_-) \chi + g(F\phi^2 + (F\phi^2)^\dagger) \right\}.$$

The supersymmetric transformation

If one defines the real components by

$$\phi \rightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(A + iB), \quad F \rightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(F - iG)$$

the WZ model $S_{WZ} = S_0 + S_{int}$

$$S_0 = \sum_x \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \bar{\chi} \left(1 - \frac{a}{2} D_1\right)^{-1} D_2 \chi - \frac{1}{a} (AD_1 A + BD_1 B) \right. \\ \left. + \frac{1}{2} F \left(1 - \frac{a}{2} D_1\right)^{-1} F + \frac{1}{2} G \left(1 - \frac{a}{2} D_1\right)^{-1} G \right\},$$

$$S_{int} = \sum_x \left\{ \frac{1}{2} m \bar{\chi} \chi + m(FA + GB) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} g \bar{\chi} (A + i\gamma_5 B) \chi \right. \\ \left. + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} g [F(A^2 - B^2) + 2G(AB)] \right\}.$$

S_0 (free part) is invariant under the lattice supersymmetry transformation (Fujikawa 2002)

$$\begin{aligned}
 \delta A &= \bar{\varepsilon}\chi = \bar{\chi}\varepsilon \\
 \delta B &= -i\bar{\varepsilon}\gamma_5\chi = -i\bar{\chi}\gamma_5\varepsilon \\
 \delta\chi &= -D_2(A - i\gamma_5B)\varepsilon - (F - i\gamma_5G)\varepsilon \\
 \delta F &= \bar{\varepsilon}D_2\chi \\
 \delta G &= i\bar{\varepsilon}D_2\gamma_5\chi.
 \end{aligned}$$

In fact, the variation of S_0 under the this transformation is

$$\begin{aligned}
 \delta S_0 &= \\
 &= \sum_x \left\{ \bar{\chi} \left(1 - \frac{a}{2}D_1\right)^{-1} D_2 \left[-D_2(A - i\gamma_5B)\varepsilon - (F - i\gamma_5G)\varepsilon \right] - \frac{2}{a}\bar{\chi}\varepsilon D_1A \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \frac{2i}{a}\bar{\chi}\gamma_5\varepsilon D_1B + (\bar{\varepsilon}D_2\chi) \left(1 - \frac{a}{2}D_1\right)^{-1} F + i(\bar{\varepsilon}D_2\gamma_5\chi) \left(1 - \frac{a}{2}D_1\right)^{-1} G \right\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

and integrating by part *, this variation becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_x \left\{ -\bar{\chi}\varepsilon \left[\left(1 - \frac{a}{2}D_1\right)^{-1}D_2^2 + \frac{2}{a}D_1 \right] A + i\bar{\chi}\gamma_5\varepsilon \left[\left(1 - \frac{a}{2}D_1\right)^{-1}D_2^2 + \frac{2}{a}D_1 \right] B \right. \\ & \left. -\bar{\chi} \left(1 - \frac{a}{2}D_1\right)^{-1}D_2(F - i\gamma_5G)\varepsilon + \bar{\chi}D_2\varepsilon \left(1 - \frac{a}{2}D_1\right)^{-1}F + i\bar{\chi}D_2\gamma_5\varepsilon \left(1 - \frac{a}{2}D_1\right)^{-1}G \right\} \\ & = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the Ginsparg-Wilson relation, which implies

$$\left(1 - \frac{a}{2}D_1\right)^{-1}D_2^2 = -\frac{2}{a}D_1.$$

*For instance, for any scalar function \mathcal{F} one has $\mathcal{F}\bar{\varepsilon}D_2\chi = \bar{\chi}D_2\mathcal{F}\varepsilon$.

Failure of the Leibniz rule

The variation of S_{int} under the susy transformation does not vanish because of the failure of the Leibniz rule at finite lattice spacing (Fujikawa 2002 and Dondi and Nicolai 1977)

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{1}{a}(f(x+a)g(x+a) - f(x)g(x)) = \\
 & = \frac{1}{a}(f(x+a) - f(x))g(x) + \frac{1}{a}f(x)(g(x+a) - g(x)) \\
 & + a\frac{1}{a}(f(x+a) - f(x))\frac{1}{a}(g(x+a) - g(x)) \\
 & = (\nabla f(x))g(x) + f(x)(\nabla g(x)) + a(\nabla f(x))(\nabla g(x))
 \end{aligned}$$

breaking of supersymmetry is of order $O(a)$.

- In order to discuss the symmetry properties of the lattice Wess-Zumino model one possibility is to modify the action by adding irrelevant terms which make invariant the full action.
- Alternatively, one can modify the supersymmetry transformation in such a way that the action has an exact symmetry for $a \neq 0$.

Since the transformation leaves invariant the free part of the action, this modification must vanish for $g = 0$.

$$\delta A = \bar{\varepsilon}\chi = \bar{\chi}\varepsilon$$

$$\delta B = -i\bar{\varepsilon}\gamma_5\chi = -i\bar{\chi}\gamma_5\varepsilon$$

$$\delta\chi = -D_2(A - i\gamma_5 B)\varepsilon - (F - i\gamma_5 G)\varepsilon + gR\varepsilon$$

$$\delta F = \bar{\varepsilon}D_2\chi$$

$$\delta G = i\bar{\varepsilon}D_2\gamma_5\chi$$

- R to be determined by requiring that the variation of the action vanishes.
 - We assume that R depends on the scalar and auxiliary fields and their derivatives and not on χ .

Exact susy transformation for the full action

The variation of the Wess-Zumino action under the transformation is

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta S_{WZ} = & \sum_x \{g\bar{\chi}(1 - \frac{a}{2}D_1)^{-1}D_2R\varepsilon - m\bar{\chi}[D_2(A - i\gamma_5B)\varepsilon + (F - i\gamma_5G)\varepsilon - gR\varepsilon] \\
& + m(A\bar{\varepsilon}D_2\chi + F\bar{\chi}\varepsilon + iB\bar{\varepsilon}D_2\gamma_5\chi - iG\bar{\chi}\gamma_5\varepsilon) + \frac{g}{\sqrt{2}}\bar{\chi}(\bar{\varepsilon}\chi + \gamma_5(\bar{\varepsilon}\gamma_5\chi))\chi \\
& - \sqrt{2}g\bar{\chi}(A + i\gamma_5B)[D_2(A - i\gamma_5B)\varepsilon + (F - i\gamma_5G)\varepsilon - gR\varepsilon] \\
& + \frac{g}{\sqrt{2}}[(A^2 - B^2)\bar{\varepsilon}D_2\chi + 2FA\bar{\chi}\varepsilon + 2iFB\bar{\chi}\gamma_5\varepsilon \\
& + 2iAB\bar{\varepsilon}D_2\gamma_5\chi + 2GB\bar{\chi}\varepsilon - 2iGA(\bar{\chi}\gamma_5\varepsilon)]\}.
\end{aligned}$$

By using the Fierz identity, terms with four fermions cancel as in the continuum.

Moreover, g independent terms cancel out after an integration by part, and one is left with

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta S_{WZ} = & \sum_x \{g\bar{\chi}[(1 - \frac{a}{2}D_1)^{-1}D_2R + mR]\varepsilon - \frac{g}{\sqrt{2}}[2\bar{\chi}(A + i\gamma_5B)D_2(A - i\gamma_5B)\varepsilon \\
& - \bar{\chi}D_2(A - i\gamma_5B)^2\varepsilon] + \sqrt{2}g^2\bar{\chi}(A + i\gamma_5B)R\varepsilon\}.
\end{aligned}$$

The function R is determined by imposing the vanishing of δS_{WZ} .
By expanding R in powers of g

$$R = R^{(1)} + gR^{(2)} + \dots$$

and imposing the symmetry condition order by order in perturbation theory, we find

$$R^{(1)} = \left(\left(1 - \frac{a}{2} D_1 \right)^{-1} D_2 + m \right)^{-1} \Delta L$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta L &\equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (2(A + i\gamma_5 B) D_2 (A - i\gamma_5 B) - D_2 (A - i\gamma_5 B)^2) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \{ 2(AD_2 A - BD_2 B) - D_2 (A^2 - B^2) \\ &\quad + 2i\gamma_5 [(AD_2 B + BD_2 A) - D_2 (AB)] \}. \end{aligned}$$

To order g^2 one has

$$R^{(2)} = -\sqrt{2} \left((1 - \frac{a}{2} D_1)^{-1} D_2 + m \right)^{-1} (A + i\gamma_5 B) \left((1 - \frac{a}{2} D_1)^{-1} D_2 + m \right)^{-1} \Delta L,$$

and for $n \geq 2$

$$R^{(n)} = -\sqrt{2} \left((1 - \frac{a}{2} D_1)^{-1} D_2 + m \right)^{-1} (A + i\gamma_5 B) R^{(n-1)}.$$

The formal solution is

$$\left[\left(1 - \frac{a}{2} D_1 \right)^{-1} D_2 + m + \sqrt{2} g (A + i\gamma_5 B) \right] R = \Delta L.$$

- $R \rightarrow 0$ for $a \rightarrow 0$, since ΔL vanishes in this limit.
- ΔL is different from zero because of the breaking of the Leibniz rule for a finite lattice spacing.

The algebra

By the commutator of two supersymmetries one finds a transformation which is still a symmetry of the Wess-Zumino action, i.e. the transformations of the fields form a closed algebra, order by order in g .

Up to order g^1 , (the rest can be generalized!)

Two supersymmetry transformations on the scalar field A give

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_1\delta_2 A &= \delta_1(\bar{\varepsilon}_2\chi) \\ &= -\bar{\varepsilon}_2[D_2(A - i\gamma_5 B)\varepsilon_1 + (F - i\gamma_5 G)\varepsilon_1 - gR\varepsilon_1]\end{aligned}$$

and their commutator yields

$$[\delta_2, \delta_1]A = -2\bar{\varepsilon}_1 D_2 \varepsilon_2 A + g(\bar{\varepsilon}_1 R \varepsilon_2 - \bar{\varepsilon}_2 R \varepsilon_1).$$

The order g^1 of the second term on the r.h.s. reads

$$\begin{aligned}g(\bar{\varepsilon}_1 R^{(1)}\varepsilon_2 - \bar{\varepsilon}_2 R^{(1)}\varepsilon_1) &= \\ \sqrt{2}g\bar{\varepsilon}_2 \frac{m(1 - \frac{a}{2}D_1)}{m^2(1 - \frac{a}{2}D_1) + \frac{2}{a}D_1} [D_2(A^2 - B^2) - 2(AD_2A - BD_2B)]\varepsilon_1\end{aligned}$$

Finally, the commutator of two supersymmetries on the scalar field A is

$$[\delta_2, \delta_1]A = -2\bar{\varepsilon}_1\gamma_\mu\varepsilon_2\{D_{2\mu}A + \frac{g}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{m(1 - \frac{a}{2}D_1)}{m^2(1 - \frac{a}{2}D_1) + \frac{2}{a}D_1}[D_{2\mu}(A^2 - B^2) - 2(AD_{2\mu}A - BD_{2\mu}B)]\}.$$

Similarly, the commutators of two supersymmetries on the other fields, up to terms of order g^1 , are

$$[\delta_2, \delta_1]B = -2\bar{\varepsilon}_1\gamma_\mu\varepsilon_2\{D_{2\mu}B + \sqrt{2}g\frac{m(1 - \frac{a}{2}D_1)}{m^2(1 - \frac{a}{2}D_1) + \frac{2}{a}D_1}[D_{2\mu}(AB) - (AD_{2\mu}B + BD_{2\mu}A)]\},$$

$$[\delta_2, \delta_1]F = -2\bar{\varepsilon}_1\gamma_\mu\varepsilon_2\{D_{2\mu}F - \frac{g}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{D_2^2}{m^2(1 - \frac{a}{2}D_1) + \frac{2}{a}D_1}[D_{2\mu}(A^2 - B^2) - 2(AD_{2\mu}A - BD_{2\mu}B)]\},$$

$$[\delta_2, \delta_1]G = -2\bar{\varepsilon}_1\gamma_\mu\varepsilon_2\{D_{2\mu}G - \sqrt{2}g\frac{D_2^2}{m^2(1 - \frac{a}{2}D_1) + \frac{2}{a}D_1}[D_{2\mu}(AB) - (AD_{2\mu}B + BD_{2\mu}A)]\}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 [\delta_2, \delta_1]\chi = & -2\bar{\varepsilon}_1\gamma_\mu\varepsilon_2\{D_{2\mu}\chi \\
 & - \frac{g}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{m(1 - \frac{a}{2}D_1) - D_2}{m^2(1 - \frac{a}{2}D_1) + \frac{2}{a}D_1}(D_2(A - i\gamma_5 B)\gamma_\mu\chi + (A + i\gamma_5 B)D_2\gamma_\mu\chi \\
 & - D_2[(A - i\gamma_5 B)\gamma_\mu\chi])\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the general expression of these commutators is

$$[\delta_1, \delta_2]\Phi = \alpha^\mu P_\mu^\Phi(\Phi), \quad \Phi = (A, B, F, G, \chi),$$

where $\alpha^\mu = -2\bar{\varepsilon}_2\gamma^\mu\varepsilon_2$ and $P_\mu^\Phi(\Phi)$ are polynomials in Φ defined as

$$P_\mu^\Phi(\Phi) = D_{2\mu}\Phi + O(g)$$

We have verified that the closure works, i.e. the action is invariant under the transformation (up to terms of order g^1).

$$\Phi \rightarrow \Phi + \alpha^\mu P_\mu^\Phi(\Phi)$$

Notice that, in the continuum limit $D_{2\mu} \rightarrow \partial_\mu$ and the transformation reduces to

$$\Phi \rightarrow \Phi + \alpha^\mu \partial_\mu \Phi$$

Conclusions and Outlook

- The Wess-Zumino model is an interesting model to understand how to put GW fermions with exact lattice supersymmetry.
- Study of the Ward-Takahashi identities.
- numerical simulations of this model (at least in two dimensions)
- The forward step would be to apply to $N = 1$ SYM (more tricky!)
- ...